K–12 Education Restoration Framework
An Ordered Liberty Model for Competency-Based Public Education

I. Preamble

The purpose of education within a free and ordered society is to form individuals capable of:

- Reasoned thought and clear communication
- Productive work and economic independence
- Civic participation and moral responsibility
- Stewardship of family, community, and environment

The current system too often prioritizes seat-time, standardized throughput, and college preparation as a singular end-state. This framework rejects that model.

Education shall instead be structured to ensure competency, plurality of pathways, and freedom from unnecessary financial burden prior to adulthood.

II. Core Principles

1. Competency Over Seat-Time
Advancement and graduation shall be based on demonstrated mastery—not age or hours spent in a classroom.

2. Subsidiarity in Governance
Educational authority shall be exercised at the lowest effective level:
- Federal: transparency, civil rights, baseline data
- State: standards, credential approval, funding frameworks
- Local: curriculum implementation, partnerships, specialization

3. Plurality of Excellence
No single pathway (e.g., college) shall be treated as superior.
Academic, technical, linguistic, civic, and entrepreneurial paths are equal in dignity.

4. Debt Avoidance
All required competencies must be achievable within the public K–12 system, without reliance on post-secondary debt.

5. Integration with Real Life
Education shall be directly connected to real-world application, including work, finance, technology, and community systems.

III. Graduation Competency Requirements

A student shall not graduate without demonstrating proficiency in the following domains:

A. Literacy and Communication

- Functional reading comprehension across disciplines
- Structured writing (argumentative, explanatory, technical)
- Verbal communication and presentation

B. Mathematical Competency
- Arithmetic and numerical fluency
- Algebraic reasoning
- Applied statistics and data interpretation

C. Scientific Reasoning
- Understanding of scientific method
- Ability to interpret evidence and data
- Completion of at least one applied scientific investigation

D. Financial Literacy
- Budgeting and cash flow management
- Credit, debt, and interest systems
- Taxes and income structures
- Investing and retirement fundamentals
- Risk management (insurance, fraud awareness)

E. Digital and Computer Fluency
- Document, spreadsheet, and data systems
- Cybersecurity and digital privacy
- Research literacy and source validation
- Foundational programming logic
- Artificial intelligence literacy and verification

F. Applied Pathway Credential (Minimum One Required)
Each student must complete one of the following:

- Biliteracy (proficiency in a second language)
- Skilled trades certification or apprenticeship hours
- STEM specialization
- Civic/public service track
- Agricultural/environmental systems
- Health support or medical pathway
- Business/entrepreneurship pathway

G. Real-World Experience Requirement
At least one of the following must be completed:
- Internship
- Apprenticeship
- Paid work-based learning
- Community service project
- Applied research or technical project

IV. Instructional Framework

1. Early Literacy (K–3)
- Daily phonics, fluency, and vocabulary instruction
- Small-group intervention for struggling students
- Mandatory early literacy benchmarks

2. Integrated Literacy (Grades 4–12)
- Reading and writing embedded across all subjects
- Frequent structured writing assignments
- Emphasis on comprehension and synthesis

3. Mathematics Instruction
- Mastery-based progression
- Cumulative review systems
- Mandatory support labs for students below benchmark
- Dual-track options: algebraic and applied/statistical pathways

4. Science Education
- Phenomena-based learning
- Lab and field-based instruction
- Emphasis on observation, modeling, and experimentation

5. Financial Education
- Standalone required course prior to graduation
- Simulation-based learning (budgets, taxes, loans, investments)

6. Language Education
- Early exposure (elementary level)
- Proficiency-based advancement (not age-based)
- Cultural and practical communication emphasis

7. Trade and Technical Education
- Mandatory exposure in middle school
- High school specialization pathways
- Direct partnerships with local industries and unions

8. Computer and Digital Systems
- Progressive curriculum from basic literacy to applied systems
- Required high school-level digital competency course
- Optional specialization tracks (coding, cybersecurity, CAD, etc.)

V. Structural Reforms

1. Mastery-Based Progression
Students advance upon demonstrated competency, not fixed timelines.

2. Embedded Tutoring Systems
- High-frequency, in-school tutoring for struggling students
- Minimum: 3 sessions per week, 30 minutes each

3. Dual Enrollment and Credentialing
- Students may earn college credits, trade certifications, and licenses before graduation

4. Remediation Before Graduation
All foundational deficiencies must be addressed within K–12—not deferred to postsecondary institutions.

5. Multi-Pathway Diploma System
One diploma with optional endorsements:
- Academic Honors
- Biliteracy
- STEM
- Skilled Trades
- Civic Leadership
- Business/Entrepreneurship
- Environmental Stewardship

VI. Economic Model

1. Reallocation of Existing Resources
- Reduce administrative overhead
- Eliminate low-value programming
- Redirect funds to core competency instruction and pathways

2. Public-Private Partnerships
- Trade unions
- Local businesses
- Agricultural systems
- Healthcare institutions
- Technology firms

3. Work-Based Learning Compensation
Students in approved programs may earn wages or stipends without jeopardizing educational access.

4. Cost Containment
All required competencies must be achievable without:
- Student loans
- Mandatory private instruction
- Excessive material costs

VII. Governance Framework

Federal Role
- Publish transparent national benchmarks
- Fund high-need districts
- Enforce civil rights protections

State Role
- Define competency standards
- Approve certifications and pathways
- Fund teacher training and infrastructure

Local Role
- Select implementation methods
- Build community partnerships
- Adapt pathways to regional economic and cultural needs

VIII. Accountability and Transparency

- Annual public reporting of:
- Literacy rates
- Math competency
- Pathway completion rates
- Employment or education placement outcomes

- Schools shall be evaluated on student readiness, not graduation volume alone

IX. Implementation Timeline

Phase I (Years 1–2)
- Define competency benchmarks
- Pilot programs in select districts
- Establish partnerships

Phase II (Years 3–5)
- Expand pathway systems statewide
- Integrate tutoring and remediation systems
- Implement financial literacy and digital competency requirements

Phase III (Years 5–10)
- Full transition to competency-based graduation
- National alignment of credential recognition
- Continuous refinement based on outcomes

X. Closing Statement

A just and ordered society does not produce graduates who are dependent, indebted, and unprepared.

It produces individuals who:
- Can think clearly
- Work competently
- Live responsibly
- And contribute meaningfully to their communities

This framework restores education to that purpose—
not as a pipeline into debt, but as a foundation for freedom.

APPENDIX A
Administrative Efficiency & Resource Reallocation Framework

I. Purpose

The purpose of this framework is to:

- Reduce excessive administrative overhead
- Redirect funding toward teachers and students
- Improve classroom outcomes without increasing taxes or debt
- Restore alignment between educational spending and student success

II. Problem Statement

Over recent decades, administrative staffing and costs have increased significantly faster t

- Student enrollment
- Teacher staffing
- Academic outcomes

This has resulted in:

- Reduced classroom funding
- Teacher shortages and burnout
- Reduced instructional resources
- Increased bureaucratic complexity

This framework establishes a gradual, structured reduction of administrative overhead while

III. Guiding Principles

1. Classroom First
Funding priority shall be:

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Instructional support staff
4. Facilities
5. Administration

2. Gradual Implementation
Administrative reductions shall occur gradually to avoid disruption.

3. Functional Necessity
Administrative positions shall be evaluated based on measurable necessity and value.

4. Transparency
Administrative spending must be publicly reported and easily understood.

IV. Administrative Audit Requirement

Each district shall conduct a comprehensive audit including:

- Total administrative personnel
- Administrative salary costs
- Administrative growth over time
- Teacher-to-administrator ratio

- Comparison with national averages

The audit shall be publicly available annually.

V. Administrative Ratio Targets

Districts shall work toward the following targets over time:

Target Ratios (5-Year Goal)

- 1 Administrator per 10–15 Teachers (recommended range)
- Maximum 15% of total budget allocated to administration

Districts exceeding these thresholds must implement reduction plans.

VI. Multi-Year Reduction Plan

Year 1
- Hiring freeze for non-essential administrative positions
- Voluntary retirement incentives
- Administrative role consolidation

Year 2
- Eliminate redundant administrative departments
- Merge overlapping roles
- Reduce consultant and external contract spending

Year 3
- Reduce administrative positions through attrition
- Redirect funds toward teacher hiring and classroom resources

Year 4–5
- Achieve target administrative ratios
- Establish permanent spending safeguards

VII. Resource Reallocation Priorities

Savings shall be redirected toward:

1. Teacher Compensation
- Salary increases
- Performance incentives
- Retention bonuses

2. Classroom Resources
- Instructional materials
- Technology
- Lab equipment
- Trade training equipment

3. Student Support Services
- Tutoring programs
- Career counseling
- Apprenticeship coordination

4. Facility Improvements
- Ventilation and safety upgrades

- Lab and shop modernization

VIII. Administrative Transparency Requirements

Districts shall publish:

- Administrative salary totals
- Teacher salary totals
- Spending per student
- Administrative growth over time

These reports shall be publicly accessible.

IX. Long-Term Safeguards

To prevent future administrative expansion:

- Administrative spending caps
- Ratio requirements
- Annual review requirements
- Public reporting mandates

© TheOrderedLiberty — CC BY 4.0 — Attrib

APPENDIX B
National Competency Benchmark & Transparency Framework

I. Purpose

To establish a national competency benchmark system that:

- Measures student readiness
- Identifies struggling regions
- Encourages improvement without punishing students
- Maintains local educational flexibility

II. Principles

1. Competency Over Memorization

The exam shall measure:

- Understanding
- Application
- Reasoning

Not memorization.

2. Low Stakes for Students

- Exams shall not determine graduation
- Exams shall guide improvement

3. High Transparency for Systems

Results shall be used to:

- Identify struggling districts
- Allocate support
- Improve teaching systems

III. Benchmark Exam Structure

Administered at:

- Grade 4
- Grade 8
- Grade 11

IV. Core Competency Areas

Literacy and Comprehension
- Reading comprehension
- Writing clarity
- Logical reasoning

Mathematics
- Arithmetic
- Algebra
- Applied statistics

Science
- Scientific reasoning
- Data interpretation
- Real-world application

Financial Literacy (Grade 11 only)
- Budgeting
- Credit
- Debt
- Taxes

Digital Literacy (Grade 8 & 11)
- Computer competency
- Data literacy
- Research literacy

V. Reporting System

Results shall be reported:

- Locally
- Statewide
- Nationally

Without identifying individual students publicly.

VI. Intervention Framework

Low-performing districts shall receive:

- Targeted funding
- Teacher training
- Tutoring support
- Curriculum assistance

VII. Incentives for Improvement

Districts demonstrating improvement shall receive:

- Additional funding
- Recognition
- Innovation grants

APPENDIX C
Student Excellence & Merit Recognition Framework

This is a great idea. You're essentially building a national culture of excellence again.

I. Purpose

To recognize:

- Hard work
- Achievement
- Leadership
- Character

And create pathways for exceptional students.

II. Recognition Levels

Local Recognition

School-level awards for:

- Academic excellence
- Trade excellence
- Leadership
- Community service

State-Level Recognition

Students nominated by districts receive:

- State recognition awards
- Scholarships
- Internship opportunities

National Recognition

Top students receive:

- National recognition
- Internship opportunities
- Mentorship programs
- Career connections

III. Recognition Categories

Academic Excellence
Trade Excellence
STEM Excellence
Leadership Excellence
Civic Service Excellence
Entrepreneurship Excellence
Agricultural/Environmental Excellence

IV. Selection Criteria

Students evaluated on:

- Effort
- Achievement
- Growth
- Leadership
- Character

Not just test scores.

V. Benefits for Recognized Students

Local Level
- Certificates
- Public recognition
- Mentorship opportunities

State Level
- Scholarships
- Internships
- College and trade connections

National Level
- National awards
- Leadership programs
- Government and industry mentorship

VI. Role Model Program

Recognized students may:

- Speak to younger students
- Mentor younger students
- Participate in community projects

VII. Long-Term Goals

This framework aims to:

- Restore academic excellence
- Encourage hard work
- Build leadership
- Strengthen communities

APPENDIX D
Classroom Structure, Teacher Support, and Funding Stability Framework

I. Purpose

The purpose of this framework is to:

- Improve classroom learning environments
- Support teachers with sustainable workloads
- Improve educational outcomes through structural reforms
- Establish reliable and stable teacher funding mechanisms
- Ensure long-term education funding stability under alternative tax systems

This framework addresses four core areas:

1. Age Cohort Structure
2. Teacher-Student Ratios
3. Teacher Compensation and Funding
4. Funding Stability Under Consumption-Based Tax Systems

II. Age Cohort Structure Reform

A. Purpose

Students learn most effectively when grouped with peers of similar developmental levels. Wide age variation within classrooms creates:

- Developmental gaps
- Behavioral challenges
- Instructional inefficiencies
- Increased teacher workload

Establishing consistent age groupings improves:

- Academic outcomes
- Classroom behavior
- Social development
- Teacher effectiveness

B. Kindergarten Age Standardization

To improve cohort consistency:

Students shall be five years old by the beginning of the Kindergarten school year.

This standard:

- Reduces age gaps within classrooms
- Improves readiness for structured learning
- Reduces long-term academic disparities
- Supports emotional and behavioral maturity

C. Implementation Structure

Year 1–3 Transition Period

During transition:

- Gradual adjustment of cutoff dates
- Parent notification and guidance
- Optional transitional programs (Pre-K or developmental kindergarten)

D. Developmental Readiness Considerations

Exceptions may be made for:

- Gifted students
- Students with developmental needs
- Special education placements

All exceptions must be based on:

- Educational assessment
- Developmental readiness
- Parent and educator consultation

III. Teacher-Student Ratio Framework

Teacher-student ratios significantly impact:

- Academic outcomes
- Teacher retention
- Classroom management
- Student engagement

This framework establishes recommended ratios based on developmental needs.

A. Elementary School Ratios

Kindergarten — Grade 2

Recommended Ratio:
1 Teacher per 12–16 Students

Rationale:

- Foundational literacy and numeracy development
- High supervision needs
- Greater individual instruction

Grades 3–5

Recommended Ratio:
1 Teacher per 15–20 Students

Rationale:

- Increasing independence
- Continued foundational skill development
- Group-based learning support

B. Middle School Ratios

Grades 6–8

Recommended Ratio:
1 Teacher per 18–22 Students

Rationale:

- Transitional academic complexity
- Behavioral and developmental support needs
- Subject-specific instruction

C. High School Ratios

Grades 9–12

Recommended Ratio:
1 Teacher per 18–25 Students

Rationale:

- Greater independence
- Specialized instruction
- Project-based learning structures

D. Special Education Ratios

Special education shall maintain:

Significantly reduced ratios based on student needs

Recommended Range:

- Mild Support: 1:8–12
- Moderate Support: 1:5–8
- Intensive Support: 1:2–5

IV. Teacher Compensation Framework

A. Purpose

Teacher compensation must reflect:

- Professional skill
- Educational importance
- Workforce competition
- Retention needs

B. Baseline Teacher Compensation Goals

Teacher salaries should be:

- Competitive with similarly educated professionals
- Regionally adjusted for cost of living
- Structured to reward experience and excellence

C. Compensation Structure

Base Salary

Competitive base salary aligned with:

- Regional professional salaries
- Cost of living
- Experience level

Performance Incentives

Optional incentives may include:

- Student growth
- Mentorship roles
- Curriculum leadership
- Specialty instruction

Retention Incentives

- Longevity bonuses
- Leadership roles
- Professional development support

D. Support Funding for Teachers

Teachers should receive funding for:

- Classroom materials
- Professional development
- Continuing education

This funding should be provided directly to teachers, not filtered through excessive adminis

V. Teacher Workload Support

To improve teacher retention:

Schools shall implement:

- Reduced administrative burden
- Protected planning periods
- Instructional support staff
- Classroom aides (especially in elementary levels)

VI. Education Funding Stability Under Consumption-Based Tax Systems

A. Purpose

If property taxes are reduced or removed, education funding must remain stable and predictab

This framework establishes reliable education funding mechanisms.

B. Education Funding Priority

Education funding shall be:

- Protected
- Predictable
- Stable

Education funding shall be prioritized before:

- Non-essential spending
- Administrative expansion

C. Consumption-Based Revenue Allocation

If a consumption tax system is implemented:

A fixed percentage of consumption tax revenue shall be allocated to education.

Recommended Allocation:

20–30% of education-related government revenue

This ensures:

- Stable funding
- Predictable teacher pay
- Reduced funding volatility

D. State-Level Education Funding Pools

States shall maintain:

- Education stabilization funds
- Reserve funds during economic growth
- Emergency funding buffers

These funds ensure:

- Teacher pay stability
- Classroom funding continuity
- Protection during economic downturns

E. Funding Distribution Model

Funding shall prioritize:

1. Teachers
2. Students
3. Classroom support
4. Facilities
5. Administration

F. Long-Term Stability Measures

To ensure long-term funding stability:

- Multi-year budgeting
- Education stabilization funds
- Transparent reporting

VII. Long-Term Goals

This framework aims to:

- Improve classroom quality
- Support teachers
- Improve student outcomes
- Stabilize education funding
- Reduce administrative burden

VIII. Closing Statement

Education quality depends on:

- Strong classrooms
- Supported teachers
- Stable funding
- Thoughtful structure

By improving classroom composition, teacher support, and funding stability, this framework s

© TheOrderedLiberty — CC BY 4.0 — Attrib

APPENDIX E
Administrative Streamlining and Educational Efficiency Framework

I. Purpose

The purpose of this framework is to:

- Reduce excessive administrative overhead
- Streamline public education systems
- Improve operational efficiency
- Redirect funding toward teachers and students
- Improve educational outcomes without increasing taxes

This framework establishes clear structural reforms to reduce bureaucratic complexity and re

II. Problem Statement

Public education systems have experienced substantial growth in administrative staffing and

- Increased operational costs
- Reduced classroom funding
- Slower decision-making
- Reduced teacher autonomy
- Increased teacher burnout

This framework establishes structural reforms to reduce unnecessary administrative overhead

III. Guiding Principles

1. Classroom First Funding

Funding priority shall be:

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Instructional support staff
4. Facilities
5. Administration

2. Lean Administrative Structure

Administrative roles shall be limited to those necessary for:

- School operations
- Student safety
- Compliance requirements
- Instructional support

3. Teacher-Centered Support Model

Administration shall exist to support teachers, not direct them unnecessarily.

4. Local Efficiency

Administrative structures shall be simplified at:

- District level

- School level
- State level

IV. Administrative Structure Reform

A. District-Level Streamlining

Districts shall reduce administrative layers by:

- Eliminating redundant departments
- Consolidating overlapping roles
- Removing unnecessary management layers

Recommended Structure:

- Superintendent
- Academic Director
- Operations Director
- Student Services Director
- Finance Director

This structure reduces excessive administrative fragmentation.

B. School-Level Streamlining

Recommended School Leadership Structure:

Elementary Schools
- Principal
- Assistant Principal (optional based on size)
- Counselor
- Administrative support staff

Middle Schools

- Principal
- Assistant Principal
- Counselor(s)
- Administrative support staff

High Schools

- Principal
- 1–2 Assistant Principals
- Counselor(s)
- Administrative support staff

C. Reduction of Non-Essential Roles

Districts shall evaluate:

- Program coordinators
- Redundant specialists
- Excess administrative consultants
- Non-essential oversight roles

Non-essential roles shall be reduced gradually.

V. Administrative Hiring Controls

To prevent future expansion:

Hiring Controls

- Administrative hiring must be justified by need
- New positions require documented justification
- Administrative growth must not exceed teacher growth

VI. Administrative Ratio Targets

Recommended Targets:

- 1 Administrator per 10–15 Teachers
- Maximum 15% of budget allocated to administration

Districts exceeding these thresholds shall implement reduction plans.

VII. Administrative Technology Modernization

Efficiency can be improved through:

- Digital record systems
- Streamlined reporting platforms
- Reduced paperwork requirements
- Simplified compliance systems

Technology shall reduce administrative staffing needs.

VIII. Reduction of Reporting Burden

Teachers currently face excessive paperwork requirements.

This framework recommends:

- Simplified reporting requirements
- Reduced redundant documentation
- Standardized digital forms
- Automated reporting systems

This allows teachers to focus on teaching.

IX. Consultant and Contract Reduction

Districts shall evaluate:

- External consultants
- Third-party contracts
- Redundant services

Non-essential contracts shall be reduced or eliminated.

X. Resource Reallocation

Savings from administrative reduction shall be redirected toward:

Teacher Support

- Salary increases
- Retention bonuses
- Professional development

Classroom Improvements

- Instructional materials
- Technology
- Science labs
- Trade equipment

Student Support

- Tutoring
- Career counseling
- Apprenticeship programs

XI. Implementation Timeline

Year 1

- Administrative audit
- Hiring freeze for non-essential roles
- Consultant evaluation

Year 2

- Role consolidation
- Technology modernization
- Administrative reduction through attrition

Year 3–5

- Achieve target administrative ratios
- Redirect funding toward classrooms

XII. Transparency Requirements

Districts shall publicly report:

- Administrative spending
- Teacher spending
- Student spending
- Administrative staffing levels

This ensures accountability.

XIII. Long-Term Safeguards

To prevent future administrative growth:

- Administrative spending caps
- Staffing ratio limits
- Annual reviews
- Public reporting

XIV. Expected Outcomes

This framework aims to:

- Increase classroom funding
- Improve teacher retention
- Improve student outcomes
- Reduce bureaucracy
- Improve system efficiency

XV. Closing Statement

Education systems function best when:

- Teachers are supported
- Students are prioritized
- Bureaucracy is minimized

By streamlining administrative structures, this framework restores education to its core mis

© TheOrderedLiberty — CC BY 4.0 — Attrib

Attribution & Public Use License

This document was created and originally published by **TheOrderedLiberty**. It is intended for public reading, discussion, sharing, and adaptation in service of civic understanding and constructive dialogue.

Primary publication channels:
Instagram: **@TheOrderedLiberty**
Substack: **https://theorderedliberty.substack.com**

License

This work is licensed under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**.

What This Means (Plain Language)

You are free to share this document in full or in part, quote it, adapt it, or build upon its ideas, for any purpose and in any medium or format.

The sole requirement: Proper attribution must be given to **TheOrderedLiberty** as the original source.

Attribution Should Include

• The name **TheOrderedLiberty**
• The document title (if available)
• A link to the original publication, where possible

Attribution Example

“Originally published by TheOrderedLiberty. Licensed under CC BY 4.0.”

Authorship & Integrity

While adaptation and reuse are encouraged, no individual or organization may claim authorship of this work or its original framework without explicit permission from TheOrderedLiberty.

First published by **TheOrderedLiberty**
Publication date: 4/20/26